

PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

GLOBALG.A.P RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)

Assessment No.	Date of Assessment	Date of Upload	Valid until
209894-2016-GLOBALGAP-NOR-DNV	2020-09-10	2020-11-20	2021-09-26

Registration No.: DNV CERT13762016GGNORACCREDIA

GGN Number: 7080005030977

Issued to

Norway Royal Salmon (NRS) ASA

Ferjemannsveien 10, 7414 Trondheim

Country of production: **Norway**

GLOBALG.A.P.

OPT 1-Individual Producer

According to GRASP General Regulations V1.3 July 2015

The Annex 1 contains details of the GRASP results (GRASP Check List)

DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l. declares that the producer mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice V1.3 July 2015

Assessment Result:
Fully compliant

Place and date:

Vimercate (MB), 2020-11-23**Kari-Anne Lenvik**

Lead auditor



For the Accredited Unit:

DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l.**Sabrina Bianchini**

Management Representative



GGN: 7080005030977

Registration number of producer/
producer group (from CB): DNV
CERT13762016GGNORACCREDIA

GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)

PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

**According to
GRASP General Rules V1.3 July 2015
Option 1**

**Issued to
Producer Norway Royal Salmon ASA
Ferjemannsveien 10, 7414 Trondheim, Norway**



The Annex contains details of the GRASP results.

The Certification Body DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l. declares that the producer group mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice Version 1.3 July 2015.

Overall assessment result: Fully compliant

GGN: 7080005030977

Assessment result in detail:

Control Point 1	Fully compliant
Control Point 2	Fully compliant
Control Point 3	Fully compliant
Control Point 4	Fully compliant
Control Point 5	Fully compliant
Control Point 6	Fully compliant
Control Point 7	Fully compliant
Control Point 8	Fully compliant
Control Point 9	Not applicable
Control Point 10	Fully compliant
Control Point 11	Fully compliant

Date of Assessment: 10-09-2020

Date of Upload: 20-11-2020

Validity: 27-09-2020 - 26-09-2021 (depending on GLOBALG.A.P. certificate validity)

The actual status of this proof is always displayed at: <https://database.globalgap.org>

GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE

GRASP Checklist - Version 1.3

Checklist Individual Producer (Option 1)

Valid from: 1 July 2015

Mandatory from: 1 October 2015



1. CERTIFICATE HOLDER REGISTRATION DATA								
Producer GGN/GLN:*	7080005030977			Registration N°:	NO 864234232			
Company name:*	Norway Royal Salmon ASA			Address:*	Ferjemannsveien 10			
Telephone:*	4773924300							
Email:	Hanne.morkemo@salmon.no			Fax:				
Assessment date:*	10/09/2020			Contact person:*	Hanne Morkemo			
Previous assessment date(s):	30/09/2019	04/10/2018	06/11/2017					
Does the producer have any other external audits or certification covering social practices? If yes, which?								
Standard 1: ARC	Standard 2:			Standard 3:	Standard 4:			
Valid to:	Valid to:			Valid to:	Valid to:			
Has the Certification Body detected any significant breach of legal requirement concerning labor conditions?					<input type="checkbox"/>	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO
Has the Certification Body reported this finding to the local/national responsible and competent authority?					<input type="checkbox"/>	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO
Comments:								
Company description: NRS is producing salmon for the whole value chaine. There are one production for smolt, there is several farms located in the sea and the slaughtering house. They are selling their own salmon and have cooperation with a lot of other produceres to be able to serve all the customer bying salmon from NRS. NRS has during the last year invested in more and more farms which they own 100%, Earlier it was more co-operating with agrements betwenn the different companies. The last year T. Wilsgård has left this certificate and have got their own.								
Did the management sign a self-declaration saying that if there were employees GRASP would be implemented?					<input type="checkbox"/>	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO
* Mandatory field								

Are produce handling (PH) facilities included in the GRASP assessment?		<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
	Is produce handling sub-contracted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
	Does the produce handling facility(ies) have any social standards implemented?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO If yes, which? GRASP
		If yes:	Name of the PH company: See info sheet and the GGN number - four facilities.
			GGN/GLN of the PH company (if applicable):
Name and location of the assessed PH Facilities:			
PH Facility 1	Espevær Laks AS; CoC 4056186894755	PH Facility 4	Wilsgård Fiskeoppdrett AS;
PH Facility 2	Arnøy Laks Slakteri AS; 4056186446701	PH Facility 5	Egil Kristoffersen & Sønner AS; 4052852939256
PH Facility 3	Lerøy Aurora AS; 4052852550901	PH Facility 6	
Does the company subcontract any other activities?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
If yes, which one?		Are the subcontracted activities included in the GRASP assessment?	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pest and rodent control	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
	<input type="checkbox"/> Crop protection	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Harvest	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others (please specify): Diving, transport of fish (boates, trucks), vaccination, veterinarian', divers, working boates, sea lice handling.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO

2. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT

Month(s) of peak season (if applicable):	Will variate from site to site depending for the life cycle for the fish and the sea lice development during the year.						% of employees living in accommodation provided by the company (if applicable):	0		
Nationalities of employees	Norway, Russia, Germany, Poland - All permanent, all with Norwegian Passport.									
Total number of employees	Local			Cross-Border Migrants			National Migrants			Total
	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	
in agricultural production	180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	180
in product handling facility(ies)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	180

3. PRESENCE DURING THE ASSESSMENT

	SITE MANAGEMENT		PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF GRASP		EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE	
Names ¹ :	Hanne Guro Haug		Hanne Guro Haug and Hanne Morkemo		WS	
Present at the opening meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
Present at the assessment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
Present at the closing meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

OVERALL ASSESSMENT RESULT: *(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)*

Fully compliant

Assessment results reviewed with company management?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
Name of certification body:	DNV GL	Duration of the assessment: 4 hours
Name of assessor:	Trude Ordemann	
Name of company management:	Charles Høstlund,, Hanne Guro Haug and Hanne Morkemo	

¹ Only mention the names if the persons have agreed to release there personal data to be uploaded with the checklist to the GLOBALG.A.P. Database.

GRASP CHECKLIST

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE(S)					
1	<p>CP: Is there at least one employee or an employees' council to represent the interests of the staff to the management through regular meetings where labor issues are addressed?</p> <p>CC: Documentation demonstrates that an employees' representative(s) or an employees' council representing the interests of the employees to the management is elected or in exceptional cases nominated by all employees and recognized by the management. The election or nomination takes place in the ongoing year or production period and is communicated to all employees. The employees' representative(s) shall be aware of his/her/their role and rights and be able to discuss complaints and suggestions with the management. Meetings between employees' representative(s) and the management occur at accurate frequency. The dialogue taking place in such meetings is duly documented. N/A if the company employs less than 5 employees.</p>				
1.1	The election/nomination procedure has been defined and communicated to all employees.	 	X		
1.2	Documentation shows that the election and the counting of votes were carried out fairly and openly. In case of representative(s) not elected but nominated, there is a document justifying why elections could not take place.		X		
1.3	The results of the election (name of employees' representative(s) or in case of council composition of the council) were communicated to all employees.	 	X		
1.4	The election/nomination has taken place in the ongoing year or production period. The representation is current (all elected/nominated person(s) according to the list still working for the company).		X		
1.5	The employees' representative(s) is/are recognized by the management and a job description clearly defines his/her/their role and rights. The employees' representative(s) is/are aware of his/her/their role and rights (in case of an employees' council, all members are interviewed).	 	X		
1.6	There is documentary evidence of regular meetings at accurate frequency between the employees' representative(s) and the management, where GRASP related issues are addressed.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 1: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
<p>Evidence/Remarks: Election done. One person for each region and a main person for all of them. totally four persons. J- Finnmark, AO -Troms. There is a good routine for following up, discussions and negotiations. There is regular meetings. Last meeting was the 18/3 -20. A central AMU has been created. OBS : The communication between the employee representative and the management can be improved even more. There is one documented meeting in 2020, but due to the Covid 19 situation more meetings have been possible. (See more details in the GG report).</p>					
<p>Corrective Actions: There are plans for meetings with safety representative AMU, 4 times a year. We have regular meetings with union representative twice a year, wage settlements and one more meeting. So it is not true that there are no meetings. There is no requirement that there should be joint meetings with safety representatives and union representatives, they have completely different functions. However, in order to treat safety representatives and union representatives equally, quarterly meetings are also set up with. HR handles the notice and minutes of meetings. It is included in the calendar that quarterly meetings will be held with union representatives from the 4th quarter of 2020.</p>					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
COMPLAINT PROCEDURE					
2	CP: Is there a complaint and suggestion procedure available and implemented in the company through which employees can make a complaint or suggestion? CC: A complaint and suggestion procedure appropriate to the size of the company exists. The employees are regularly informed about its existence, complaints and suggestions can be made without being penalized and are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management. The procedure specifies a timeframe to answer complaints and suggestions and take corrective actions. Complaints, suggestions and their follow-up from the last 24 months are documented.				
2.1	A documented complaint and suggestion procedure is available, appropriate to the size of the company.		X		
2.2	Employees are regularly and actively informed about the complaint and suggestion procedure.	  	X		
2.3	The procedure states clearly that employees will not be penalized for filing complaints or suggestions.		X		
2.4	Complaints and suggestions are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management.		X		
2.5	The procedure sets a timeframe to resolve complaints and suggestions (e.g. during the next month).	  	X		
2.6	The complaints, suggestions and their follow-up are documented and available for the last 24 months.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 2: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: Procedure for warning and proposition is possible to find in the personal file stored in EQS, intranett and will be a part of the new H&S management system comming this year. The knowledge of this procedure is good. The use of Evolution personal system started. The several training done will be signed in this system. The knowledge around this is also a part of the servey done with the employees done every 1,5 year.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
SELF-DECLARATION ON GOOD SOCIAL PRACTICES					
3	<p>CP: Has a self-declaration on good social practice regarding human rights been signed by the management and the employees' representative(s) and has this been communicated to the employees?</p> <p>CC: The management and the employees' representative(s) have signed, displayed and put in practice a self-declaration assuring good social practice and human rights of all employees. This declaration contains at least the commitment to the ILO core labor conventions (ILO Conventions: 111 on discrimination, 138 and 182 on minimum age and child labor, 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equal remuneration and 99 on minimum wage) and transparent and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure. The self-declaration states that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions. The employees have been informed about the self-declaration and it is revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.</p>				
3.1	The declaration is complete and contains at least all points referred to ILO core labor conventions.		X		
3.2	The declaration has been signed by the management and by the employees' representative(s).		X		
3.3	The declaration is actively communicated to the employees (e.g. displayed on the production site/in the handling unit/management office or attached to the working contract, information at meetings etc.).	 	X		
3.4	The management, the responsible person for the implementation of GRASP and the employees' representative(s) know the content of the declaration and confirm that it is put into practice.	  	X		
3.5	It is stated that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions.		X		
3.6	The declaration is checked and revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.	 	X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 3: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: The self evaluation updated and signed by the employee representative in 2019. Document seen during the audit. NRS has started with cultur audits. The start for this will be in 2021. There has been a delay because of Covid 19. This is described in the personla handbook. See section 4 for the work with the Code of Conduct as well.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
ACCESS TO NATIONAL LABOUR REGULATIONS					
4	CP: Do the person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge of or access to recent national labor regulations? CC: The person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge of or access to national regulations, such as gross and minimum wages, working hours, trade union membership, anti-discrimination, child labor, labor contracts, holiday and maternity leave. Both the RGSP and the employees' representative(s) know the essential points of working conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines.				
4.1	The RGSP provides the employees' representative(s) with the valid labor regulations (e.g. the GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines).	  	X		
4.2	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on gross and minimum wages and deductions from wages.	  	X		
4.3	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on working hours.	  	X		
4.4	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on freedom of association and right to collective bargaining.	  	X		
4.5	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on anti-discrimination.	  	X		
4.6	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on child labor and minimum age of working.	  	X		
4.7	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on holiday and maternity leave.	  	X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 4: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: All workers have access to Norwegian work legislation and "Havbruksoverenskomsten". There is also information in the personnel handbook found at the intranett for NRS which all workers have access to. The new H&S system is implemented in the end of 2019. Wage negotiations for 2020 is delayed because of Covid 19 but will start late 2020. The employees representative is a part of the wage negotiations. OBS: The Employees representative did not have knowledge of the National Interpretation Guideline (NIG) for Norway and this document needs to be read and discussed internally to verify that all relevant legislations are in place and implemented. This is given as an observation since the Quality System have links to legislation and general knowledge is in place.					
Corrective Actions: GG NIG has been sent to all union representatives and safety representatives in NRS, as well as a link to where this can be found in EQS. Mail has been sent to all union representatives and answers have been received on received mail. Deviation 9 and Appendix 9					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
WORKING CONTRACTS					
5	<p>CP: Can valid copies of working contracts be shown for the employees? Are the working contracts compliant with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements and do they indicate at least full names, nationality, a job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage and the period of employment? Have they been signed by both the employee and the employer?</p> <p>CC: For every employee, a contract can be shown to the assessor on request on a sample basis. The contracts correspond with the applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements. Both the employees as well as the employer have signed them. Records contain at least full names, nationality, job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage and the period of employment (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.) and for non-national employees their legal status and working permit. The contract does not show any contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practices. Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.</p>				
5.1	Random checks show availability of written contracts for all employees signed by both parties.		X		
5.2	There is evidence that the employees have the correct contract according to national legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements (as stipulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		X		
5.3	The working contracts include at least basic information on the employee's name, date of birth and nationality according to the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		X		
5.4	The working contracts or attachments to the contracts include basic information on the contract period (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.), the wage, working hours, breaks, and a basic job description.		X		
5.5	In the contract, there is no contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practice.		X		
5.6	If non-national employees are working for the company, records indicate their legal status for being employed by the company. A respective working permit is available.		X		
5.7	Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 5: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: The new human resource system seen. There is some delay due to Covid 19, but the start for developing the Code of Conduct seen. The working contract for new employees St started in March 2020 verified. Also verified for Id who started 9/6-2020. all the basic information was included. Verified 5 new employees in addition to this. they start to work between May and September this year.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
PAYSLIPS					
6	CP: Is there documented evidence indicating regular payment of salaries corresponding to the contract clause? CC: The employer shows adequate documentation of the regular salary transfer (e.g. employee's signature on pay slip, bank transfer). Employees sign or receive copies of pay slips/pay register that make the payment transparent and comprehensible for them. Regular payment of the employees during the last 24 months is documented.				
6.1	Documented evidence that the payment is made in defined intervals (e.g. pay slips or pay registers) is available for the employees (random checks).		X		
6.2	Pay slips or pay registers indicate that payments are made in accordance with the working contracts (e.g. employee's signature on pay slips, bank transfer etc.).		X		
6.3	The records of payments are kept for at least 24 months.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 6: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>				Fully compliant	
Evidence/Remarks: Payslips for the salary seen for September for all the workers. They got the payslip on e-mail every month. Work contracts for a number of workers seen onsite. Include all relevant and necessary information with respect to salaries, working hours etc.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
WAGES					
7	CP: Do pay slips/pay registers indicate the conformity of payment with at least legal regulations and/or collective bargaining agreements? CC: Wages and overtime payment documented on the pay slips/pay registers indicate compliance with legal regulations (minimum wages) and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline. If payment is calculated per unit, employees shall be able to gain at least the legal minimum wage (on average) within regular working hours.				
7.1	Pay slips or pay registers give clear indication on the number of compensated working time or harvested amount including overtime (hours/days).		X		
7.2	Wages and overtime payments as shown in the records are according to the contracts and indicate compliance with national labor regulations (minimum wages), and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		X		
7.3	Independently from the calculation unit, pay slips/pay registers document that employees gain in average at least the legal minimum wage within regular working times (especially check when piece-rate is implemented). If there are deductions from salaries and employees are being paid below minimum wage, the deductions must be justified in writing.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 7: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: Payslips verified for the sallery runned in September for august for all teh employees. Also seen the e-mail workers get on the payment day. The working houers, the overtime, the shift, the taxes etc.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
NON-EMPLOYMENT OF MINORS					
8	CP: Do records indicate that no minors are employed at the company? CC: Records indicate compliance with national legislation regarding minimum age of employment. If not covered by national legislation, children below the age of 15 are not employed. If children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety, jeopardizes their development, or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.				
8.1	Dates of birth on the records show that no employee is aged below the legal minimum age of employment or, if not specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline, under the age of 15.		X		
8.2	If children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety (according to the applicable IFA All Farm Base Module), that -jeopardizes their development or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.	    			X
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 8: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>				Fully compliant	
Evidence/Remarks: No employees bellow 15 years is working in NRS. The work for workers under 18 year is described in internal procedures which is complying with the national regulation.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
ACCESS TO COMPULSORY SCHOOL EDUCATION					
9	CP: Do the children of employees living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school education? CC: There is documented evidence that children of employees at compulsory schooling age (according to national legislation) living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school education, either through provided transport to a public school or through on-site schooling.				
9.1	There is a list of all children in the age of compulsory schooling age living on the company's production/handling sites, with sufficient indications on name, name of parents, date of birth, school attendance, etc. Children of management may be excluded.				X
9.2	There is evidence of transport facilities if children cannot reach school within acceptable walking distance (half an hour walking or according to the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).	   			X
9.3	There is evidence of an on-site schooling system when access to schools is not available.	   			X
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 9: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>				Not applicable	
Evidence/Remarks: No minors live on the site. There is not such a situation for NRS at all. This is not allowed in Norway.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
TIME RECORDING SYSTEM					
10	CP: Is there a time recording system that shows daily working time and overtime on a daily basis for the employees? CC: There is a time recording system implemented appropriate to the size of the company that makes working hours and overtime transparent for both employees and employer on a daily basis. Working times of the employees during the last 24 months are documented. Records are regularly approved by the employees and accessible for the employees' representative(s).				
10.1	A time recording system is implemented, appropriate to the size of the company (e.g. time record sheet, check clock, electronic cards, etc.).	 	X		
10.2	The records indicate the regular working time for employees on a daily basis.		X		
10.3	The records indicate the overtime hours as defined by contracts per legislation for all employees on a daily basis.		X		
10.4	The records indicate the breaks/festive days for the employees (on a daily basis).		X		
10.5	The working records are regularly approved by the employees (e.g. regularly signed record sheet, checking clock).		X		
10.6	Access to these records is provided to the employees' representative(s).	  	X		
10.7	The records are kept for at least 24 months.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 10: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: The records made is indicating the number of hours worked both normal time and overtime. The employees representative have access if needed. Seen the list for all the employees and made reports to be able to pick up if any persons has been working more the 60 hours for the peak season or in the normal working week.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
WORKING HOURS & BREAKS					
11	CP: Do working hours and breaks documented in the time records comply with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements? CC: Documented working hours, breaks and rest days are in line with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements. If not regulated more strictly by legislation, records indicate that regular weekly working hours do not exceed a maximum of 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed a maximum of 60 hours. Rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.				
11.1	Information on valid labor regulation and/or collective bargaining agreements regarding working hours and breaks is available (e.g. in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).	 	X		
11.2	Working hours including overtime as shown in the records indicate compliance with legal regulations and/or collective bargaining agreements.		X		
11.3	Rest breaks/days as shown in the records indicate compliance with national regulations and/or bargaining agreements.		X		
11.4	If not regulated more strictly by applicable legislation, regular weekly working time does not exceed 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed 60 hours.	  	X		
11.5	The records indicate that rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 11: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: Since they are working shift it is not possible to find workers been working the 60 houters rule.					
Corrective Actions:					

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GOOD PRACTICE

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA
ADDITIONAL SOCIAL BENEFITS	
R1	What other forms of social benefit does the company offer to employees, their families and/or the community? Please specify (incentives for good and safe working performance, bonus payment, support of professional development, social benefits, child care, improvement of social surroundings etc.).
Evidence/Remarks: There is several benefits for the employees like IA agreement, AFP for all the workes when they have been working for more then 9-11 year, some celebration with the employees before christmas and during summertime.	